

lambda

volume 10 number 2 tuesday september 21

laurentian university sudbury ontario



New olympic pool is still far from complete

Last Wednesday the Department of Physical Plant and Planning held their monthly meeting at which reports concerning present and future construction projects were given.

Of particular interest was the report on the Single and Married Student Housing Project. During the summer the site for this project (extending north westerly from UC residence) was stripped, so rock excavation work is expected to start this week. A possible completion date for this project has been set for September 1972. It would be great if all parties concerned would work to make this possibility a reality, what with the critical housing problem in Sudbury!

The residence is to consist of a low-rise three-floor walk-up style building, accommodating 204 students in 2- and 4-bedroom apartments for married stu-

dents.

If final tenders come in within budget, preliminary indications are that rental rates will be about \$600 single and \$550 for double occupancy, with the married student apartments at \$130 per month. However, if the structures are over budget, rents, of course, will rise.

Another report of interest to many, is that on the Physical Education Centre. At present, this project is approximately one month behind schedule due to the prolonged sheet metal workers' strike. This means that it will be at least December before students will be able to take advantage of the olympic-sized swimming pool and diving towers, as well as the lounge, library, classroom, laboratory, weight training, office and new shower areas.

Still along the line of ath-

letics, the Field Changehouse has been completed and is in full operation. The main building includes 2 team change rooms, male and female public washrooms, and with the portable bleachers, has a seating capacity of 2,000.


The Maintenance Building (remember the hassle over that one: we could either maintain or social science, but not at the same time) still isn't completed. It too, has been a victim of the sheet metal strike. Three weeks after the settlement of the strike, most Physical Plant and Planning sections should be under one roof. This includes offices, the key registry office, electrical, mechanical, hardware, carpentry and paint shops and storage and printing rooms.

Besides these full scale pro-

jects, the Department of Physical Plant and Planning cleared up a few odds and ends. Tenders are being obtained for construction of a storage room in the truck shop, carpeting should be installed in the SGA offices in about a week, and the Pub will have to wait another four weeks for more electrical fixtures.

Also, the new road ("B") is ready for paving, and tenders have been received for installation of new street lighting along "B" road, from the front entrance to the Phys. Ed. building, as well as lighting for the Athletic Field playing area and new roads and walks.

Although the Department of Physical Plant and Planning is continuing to receive requests for alteration and renovation projects, for 1972-73, no emergency projects will have to be done this year.



New maintenance building is almost ready for occupancy

At convocation

Noted physician receives honorary doctorate

The honorary degree of Doctor of Science was conferred upon Dr. Charles H. Best during Laurentian University's 20th Convocation Exercises on Saturday, May 20. Dr. Best and Dr. Frederick Banting were co-discoverers of insulin. The honorary degree of Doctor of Letters was conferred upon Madame Louise de Kiriline Lawrence, author and naturalist, and upon Dr. Harold Bennett, a founding member and former pres-

ident of Laurentian University. The honorary degree of Doctor of Laws was conferred upon Senator R  al B  lisle, a member and for several years chairman of the Board of Regents of the University of Sudbury.

More than 450 graduates received degrees. Among those were Laurentian's first graduates from professional schools of Nursing, Physical and Health Education, and Social Work.

Fifty years ago, Dr. Charles

Best discovered insulin with Dr. F.G. Banting. He is presently director emeritus of the Banting and Best department of Medical Research at the University of Toronto.

Since the discovery of insulin he has been responsible for advances in the fields of insulin and diabetes, heparin and thrombosis, choline and liver damage, the discovery of the enzyme histaminase, etc... During the Second World War, Dr. Best initiated the Canadian Red

Cross Blood Serum Project. He has received honorary degrees from 25 universities located in several countries of the world.

Madame Louise de Kiriline Lawrence has resided in the North Bay area for more than 40 years. She is an internationally known naturalist and author. Madame Lawrence is the author of the best-seller "The Lovely and the Wild" published in 1969 and for which she was awarded the John Burroughs

Medal, one of the most distinguished prizes for nature writers.

A former president of Laurentian University, one of its founding members and for ten years secretary of the Board of Governors, Dr. Harold Bennett received his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. He was a professor at Victoria College, University of Toronto, for more than 25 years, and served as principal of this institution for 13 years. Dr. Bennett has received honorary degrees from Victoria College and from Huntington University.

Senator R  al B  lisle was born at Elzeard Valley. After service with the Canadian Army he entered municipal politics in Rayside and was subsequently a member of the Ontario Provincial Legislature for eight years. He was called to the Senate of Canada in 1963. Senator B  lisle is honorary president of l'Association d'Education d'Ontario and a lifetime member of l'Ordre de M  rite de la Culture fran  aise du Canada. He is a member of several Senate special committees, and has represented Canada on many occasions at international conferences.

The interest of the provincial government was great, but all contact was kept to a bare minimum to make certain that the students were not given the idea of a government whitewash.

In addition to the 60 resource people and speakers, there were films, displays and literature presented to the conference.

While the students agreed that next year a conference of longer than a week-end should be held, some were upset that the conference was only "a bunch of talking". Some of those doing the criticism went into the surrounding area to do something concrete about the problem of litter.

The students discussed many topics under the supervision of

many internationally known experts in the field, and were directed by the Institute's Tony Naylor, and David Booth, of the Department of Energy and Resources Management at Queen's Park.

Tours were also arranged to the Lake Laurentian conservation area and Maloy Reservoir, and also Coulston wastelands and INCO's Copper Cliff tailings reclamation project.

The turning down of the rezoning bid culminated a long and arduous path for the structure. Earlier when site requirements were made known and tenders let out not one bid was received for construction.

Editor faces possible litigation

An attempt by a professor in the French Department to coerce the editor of Lambda into revealing the writer of an allegedly libelous letter published in the final issue of the paper last spring has failed.

Raoul Benais told Editor Noel Beach that unless the name of the writer signed 'Traitor' later was revealed to him, he would take the editor to court for publishing libelous material. Beach refused to reveal the name.

It was the latest episode of the 'Benais against the world' caper. Earlier Benais had succeeded in driving a fellow professor out of the country with revelations about the latter's personal conduct which contained references to criminal offences throughout his career.

As an aftermath to that occasion, suits were brought against the former co-editors of the now defunct French Lambda. Also named in the action were the Acting President of the University, Doctor Roland Cloutier, and former SGA president Victor Cormier, as well as other University officials.

The writs for that instance were answered in court but nothing came of the action. The incident involving Beach did not get that far as Benais ignorant of the laws of this country led to a technical failure. It is understood that Benais' actions have alienated him from large sections of the University community. It is also reported that many students refuse to take courses from him believing

that a complete class received substantially lower marks in a course last year because Benais falsely deduced another letter written at the same time was collectively written by the members of that class. These beliefs are yet to be substantiated.

Beach refused to comment about the situation, save to remark that obviously the tenure situation at the University was in need of revision. Benais is one

of many professors with tenure.

In a late development, Benais has again struck. Last week he served Beach with a similar writ. And in moves of confidence both the Lambda Publications Board of Directors and the SGA Executive have placed themselves in positions of support for Beach.

Should drastic action be necessary the two groups say they are ready to battle.

Just us now weekly

Laurentian students will no longer be able to read the news in French. 'Le Lambda', the French section of Lambda Publications has opted out of the weekly information media to produce a magazine.

The new magazine, to be published 8 times a year will half tabloid size and contain approximately 30 pages. 'Re-action', as the publication is called will feature articles and artistic works as well as some photography.

Declare residence

Choice of riding left to individual

In spite of the recent confusion resulting from the Davis' government amendment of the Election Act, students, if they wish, will be able to vote in the riding of their university in the coming election. This

conclusion was reached at a meeting and press conference of Ontario University student leaders held at University of Toronto last Thursday.

Quoting from a letter from Roderick Lewis, Chief Election

Officer for Ontario, it was found that a student's declaration of his place of residence would be evidence enough of his eligibility to vote there.

The letter, written to the Students' Administrative Council of University of Toronto, said, in part: "As far as the enumeration is concerned, the voter's statement as to his place of residence is ... all that the enumerator can act on."

The confusion over where students could actually vote arose shortly before the act to change the age of majority from 21 to 18 years was passed. At that time the Ontario Legislature rescinded a clause in the Election Act which required students to vote in their University ridings. While this clause was rescinded, no new legislation replaced it, leaving students in a special class of voters -- they could vote in person or by proxy in their parents' ridings, or, given satisfactory proof of permanent residence, in their university riding.

The resulting ambiguity of position has left students with no clear idea of where they can actually vote.

It was made clear at the meeting that students do have a choice of places where they can vote. He is able to vote in his parents' riding, either in person or by proxy, or in his university riding, providing that, at enumeration, he declares his residence in that riding at his home.

In Sudbury, this would mean that a student living on the Laurentian Campus could vote in his home riding or in the riding of Sudbury East. A student living in the City of Sudbury, outside of Sudbury East Riding, would vote in the Riding of Sudbury, unless, again he wished to vote in his parents' riding.

The important thing for students to remember about all this is that when the enumerator calls, he must decide where he wishes to vote, and then give the enumerator the appropriate address.

Lewis says Sudbury good example

"Sudbury probably brings into focus, better than any other city in Ontario, the failure of the Conservative government housing policies." This statement was made by Ontario New Democratic Party leader Stephen Lewis, in Sudbury, on September 15.

Mr. Lewis attributed the housing crisis to the government's attitude, as he stated, "In the kind of Ontario they see the provision of housing as a secondary function of government. In our Ontario the NDP see it as a public responsibility on the same level as education and medicine."

The NDP leader stated that, by government objectives, the shortfall of housing units in the province is 97,927 units. He stated that the NDP housing program would provide starts on 150,000 housing units in their first year of office.

Mr. Lewis stated that the reason for the shortage is mainly lack of mortgage money, and not the inability of the housing industry to build housing units.

The NDP, he stated, would increase the availability of mortgage money by further tapping Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation funds. An NDP government would also allow pension funds to invest more money in mortgages. Presently pension funds can invest only one per cent of their total assets in real estate. The NDP would also direct government money away from showplaces, such as Ontario Place, and into housing. The party would also be prepared to borrow money to build housing units.

Later that evening, Mr. Lewis addressed party canvassers in Azilda, where he described government inaction on the problem of "contaminated" water being provided to the Interna-

tional Nickel Smelter at Copper Cliff.

The NDP leader stated that under his government INCO would be forced to install proper water treatment facilities or be subject to fines of up to \$10,000 per day.

"Inco would be an example of how an NDP government would treat all those who pollute our air and water. The NDP message to industrial polluters would be unmistakably clear: the days of friendly government in matters of enforcement have ended. Inco has met its match. The laws will be strengthened and enforced."

Stephen Lewis will be in Sudbury addressing the general public this Friday, September 24. Time and place of his address will be announced later.

Time and place of his address will be announced later.

Married students will have to wait longer than planned for the on-campus married students' residence. It had been hoped that construction would begin last month; however, Sudbury city council early in the summer turned down an appeal by the university to rezone a parcel of land on which the residence was to have been built.

The city planning board had earlier turned down the same request.

Laurentian officials appeared at a council meeting and were met by a rather large crowd of Loach's Road residents who opposed the rezoning bid because of both inadequate sewerage and traffic facilities already existing in the area. It was their contention that the construction of the residence, a proposed town house type of structure, would only create further overloading of the facilities, but also would lower the desirability of the neighbourhood. The area contains many high priced homes owned by teachers, doctors, business owners and university professors.

The road on which the residence was to be built is a narrow winding and hilly one, with both an elementary and secondary school situated on it. Within a mile is the shopping complex at the corner of Revent and Paris streets.

According to the University's proposal the schools and shopping area were ideal for the integration of the university with the surrounding community. However at the same time, the officials stressed that the new road which connects the university to Loach's Road would not be used. These statements appeared to conflict pointed out a spokesman for the Lo-Ellen Park residents.

University lawyer Harold Beaudry, himself a Lo-Ellen Park resident, was surprised, as were other University officials, at the size, strength and organization of the protestors.

The turning down of the rezoning bid culminated a long and arduous path for the structure. Earlier when site requirements were made known and tenders let out not one bid was received for construction.

Laurentian hosted youth conference on environmental pollution

A conference on pollution entitled "The Straight Goods" was held early in the summer at the University for some 350 secondary school students from across the province.

The conference, sponsored jointly by the University through its Institute of Fine Particles Research, and the provincial government drew both compliments and scathing criticism.

While the students agreed that next year a conference of longer than a week-end should be held, some were upset that the conference was only "a bunch of talking". Some of those doing the criticism went into the surrounding area to do something concrete about the problem of litter.

The students discussed many topics under the supervision of

many internationally known experts in the field, and were directed by the Institute's Tony Naylor, and David Booth, of the Department of Energy and Resources Management at Queen's Park.

In addition to the 60 resource people and speakers, there were films, displays and literature presented to the conference.

Tours were also arranged to the Lake Laurentian conservation area and Maloy Reservoir, and also Coulston wastelands and INCO's Copper Cliff tailings reclamation project.

The interest of the provincial government was great, but all contact was kept to a bare minimum to make certain that the students were not given the idea of a government whitewash.

U of T handbook attacked

TORONTO (CUP) The 1971 University of Toronto student handbook has been vehemently attacked by Toronto's establishment press and repudiated by its publisher, the Students' Administrative Council.

The main target of criticism was the handbook's lead editorial, entitled "The Year of the Seige". It concludes that the administration's violent tactics must be met with violence.

One of the administration's tactics outlined in the editorial was ordering the violent eviction of the Wachee tent city by calling Metro police on campus earlier this year -- an unprecedented action at U. of T.

Seizing the opportunity to distort the editorial's message, the establishment media quoted its conclusion out of context, implying that the handbook was designed to incite unprovoked violence.

Although the handbook was distributed to 27,000 undergraduate and graduate day students at U. of T., the press labelled it a "Freshman handbook", accusing editor Alex Podnick, a graduate political science student, of seeking to mislead "impressionable" first-year students. The Toronto Star proposed that

the University administration issue "a counter-manifesto to the incoming freshmen, warning that any resort to illegality or violence will be answered with prompt expulsion." In its editorial, the paper demanded Podnick's expulsion as penalty for his "open incitements to defiance of the law".

The Globe and Mail suggested that "Mr. Podnick's ravings, if he attempts to translate them into action, can be dealt with by police."

Podnick said the press was guilty of sensationalism and deliberate misrepresentation of the editorial, and said the papers "implied that it was meant to incite an unprovoked campaign of 'violence and intimidation'."

When the administration called in police to evict Wachee -- well aware of the likelihood of violence -- despite Sword's pledge to negotiate with them, the fact that they weren't disturbing anyone, this same press remained mute," he said.

While SAC spokesman praised the handbook as "one of the best and most useful booklets in some years," they repudiated "the tone and methods expressed" in its inflammatory pages.

Much of the editorial focuses on a criticism of acting president Jack Sword, the man responsible for calling police onto the campus. As yet, he has made no comment.

However, according to SAC officials, Sword's associate and acting vice president, Don Forster, picked up copies of the handbook for his lawyers to determine whether it was libelous. Metro police intelligence officers also came for copies.

The handbook, now in its 70th year of publication, contains 30 pages of valuable information for both new and returning students. Only the Globe and Mail news report acknowledged the book's less controversial elements. These include a comprehensive community guide, information on how the university actually works, an exclusive report on the confidential proceedings of the presidential search committee, articles about campus clubs and political groups, and background material on recent political struggles on the U. of T. campus.

A major aim of this year's book was to provide students with sufficient information to allow them to organize effectively in the fall without the traditional

lag following summer vacation.


Discussing the university's eviction of Wachee, the editorial observes that it showed the students who the university serves (the establishment) and how it acts (with legally sanctioned violence). It describes Sword as "the servant of this province's ruling class," committed to maintaining the status quo.

"And, if the student population gets restless, he knows he can rely on the power of the state to suppress them. It worked with Wachee, and it undoubtedly can work in the future -- if we allow it to," the editorial continues.

Dismissing liberal politics as useless in the long run, the editorial advocates "direct action."

It says the administration "must be shown that this university cannot and will not function unless it functions in the interest of society."

"If the administration persists adamant in its policy of ignoring the students and communities, it is indirectly entrenching direct action and those who seek to retard this process are traitors."



This man is losing his identity. He is registering to become a number.

More clarification on voting

The Ontario Election Office has issued the following clarification on voting procedures:

1) It is open to all students of the University of Toronto to vote in the election for the President of the Students' Union. The election will be held on the evening of Monday, October 11, 1971, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. in the main hall of the University of Toronto, 27 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario. The election will be held in the same electoral district as the previous election, unless a change is made by the University of Toronto Council.

2) The proxy procedure is available to a non-relative of the voter, but the proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

3) A person may act as proxy for only one non-relative at the election. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

4) It is open to all students of the University of Toronto to vote in the election for the President of the Students' Union. The election will be held on the evening of Monday, October 11, 1971, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. in the main hall of the University of Toronto, 27 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario. The election will be held in the same electoral district as the previous election, unless a change is made by the University of Toronto Council.

5) The proxy procedure is available to a non-relative of the voter, but the proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

6) A person may act as proxy for only one non-relative at the election. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

7) It is open to all students of the University of Toronto to vote in the election for the President of the Students' Union. The election will be held on the evening of Monday, October 11, 1971, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. in the main hall of the University of Toronto, 27 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario. The election will be held in the same electoral district as the previous election, unless a change is made by the University of Toronto Council.

8) The proxy procedure is available to a non-relative of the voter, but the proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

9) A person may act as proxy for only one non-relative at the election. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

10) It is open to all students of the University of Toronto to vote in the election for the President of the Students' Union. The election will be held on the evening of Monday, October 11, 1971, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. in the main hall of the University of Toronto, 27 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario. The election will be held in the same electoral district as the previous election, unless a change is made by the University of Toronto Council.

11) The proxy procedure is available to a non-relative of the voter, but the proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

12) A person may act as proxy for only one non-relative at the election. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

13) It is open to all students of the University of Toronto to vote in the election for the President of the Students' Union. The election will be held on the evening of Monday, October 11, 1971, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. in the main hall of the University of Toronto, 27 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario. The election will be held in the same electoral district as the previous election, unless a change is made by the University of Toronto Council.

14) The proxy procedure is available to a non-relative of the voter, but the proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

15) A person may act as proxy for only one non-relative at the election. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter. The proxy must be a student of the University of Toronto who is a qualified voter in the same electoral district as the voter.

Alberta students in unlikely position

EDMONTON (CUP) Students at the University of Alberta have found themselves in the unlikely role of an employer-employee relationship.

The provincial board of Industrial Relations has granted employees at the Student Union (SU) the right to form a union and engage in collective bargaining with their employers, the students at the University of Alberta. On behalf of 20,000 students, Students Union President Don McKenzie has decided

to appeal the board's decision to the Supreme Court of Alberta.

The SU workers, who were certified in July as Local 1368 of the Canadian Union of Public Employees (CUPE), are concerned that the Students Union Executive will be able to suspend their union rights by engaging in lengthy court action.

Past management actions have made it clear to SU workers that a strong union is important.

One of the major difficulties

was negotiating with the S.U. general manager, Darrell Ness, who was granted a two-year contract in July. Other grievances concerned the wide salary differential between the general manager, who earned about \$16,000 yearly and the workers who get between \$2,500 and \$8,500 a year. There were also cases of workers losing their jobs when another company took over the vending machines; and obvious sex discrimination when a long-time female employee was passed over in favour of a male applicant.

Prior to certification as a CUPE local, SU workers were represented by the Students Union Staff Association.

The board of Industrial Relations has stated that the Students Union workers were justified in applying to become a certified bargaining group since the Students Union is a self-governing body not affected by the same legislation as the rest of the university, and because the Students Union Staff Association was not established by a collective agreement.

The board's statement identifies the Students Union as the employer of the SU workers. The Students Union president claims that the workers were acting in bad faith by demanding an increase of \$50 a month per employee, only three months after a 20 per cent retroactive wage increase had been granted for the previous year.

The president of Local 1368, Percy McManis, said that the "20 per cent wage increase" included an increase given "management and possible additional staff."

McKenzie also claimed that the workers demands would increase the wage budget by \$50,000 or 10.5 per cent. According to McManis this figure is incorrect as there are 32 union employees who at an increase of \$44 per employee would equal only an additional \$16,000 per year.

McKenzie has threatened increased Students Union fees or a curtailment of services if the wage demands are met.

ties the Students Union as the employer of the SU workers.

The Students Union president claims that the workers were acting in bad faith by demanding an increase of \$50 a month per employee, only three months after a 20 per cent retroactive wage increase had been granted for the previous year.

The president of Local 1368, Percy McManis, said that the "20 per cent wage increase" included an increase given "management and possible additional staff."

McKenzie also claimed that the workers demands would increase the wage budget by \$50,000 or 10.5 per cent. According to McManis this figure is incorrect as there are 32 union employees who at an increase of \$44 per employee would equal only an additional \$16,000 per year.

McKenzie has threatened increased Students Union fees or a curtailment of services if the wage demands are met.

The board's statement identifies the Students Union as the employer of the SU workers.

The Students Union president claims that the workers were acting in bad faith by demanding an increase of \$50 a month per employee, only three months after a 20 per cent retroactive wage increase had been granted for the previous year.

The president of Local 1368, Percy McManis, said that the "20 per cent wage increase" included an increase given "management and possible additional staff."

McKenzie also claimed that the workers demands would increase the wage budget by \$50,000 or 10.5 per cent. According to McManis this figure is incorrect as there are 32 union employees who at an increase of \$44 per employee would equal only an additional \$16,000 per year.

McKenzie has threatened increased Students Union fees or a curtailment of services if the wage demands are met.

The board's statement identifies the Students Union as the employer of the SU workers.

The Students Union president claims that the workers were acting in bad faith by demanding an increase of \$50 a month per employee, only three months after a 20 per cent retroactive wage increase had been granted for the previous year.

The president of Local 1368, Percy McManis, said that the "20 per cent wage increase" included an increase given "management and possible additional staff."

McKenzie also claimed that the workers demands would increase the wage budget by \$50,000 or 10.5 per cent. According to McManis this figure is incorrect as there are 32 union employees who at an increase of \$44 per employee would equal only an additional \$16,000 per year.

McKenzie has threatened increased Students Union fees or a curtailment of services if the wage demands are met.

BoG makes changes in members

At its annual meeting the Board of Governors of Laurentian University effected minute changes to its composition and to some of its committees. These became necessary because of the resignations of Mr. W. J. Shea, Chairman of the Board, and Mr. D.J. Caswell, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Board.

To replace Mr. Shea, the University of Sudbury nominated Mr. Gordon Crowning, and to replace Mr. Caswell, the United Church of Canada nominated Mr. John Hawkins. Both of those men were duly elected as members of the Board of Governors of Laurentian University.

All those members whose terms of office had expired were again elected for three-year terms.

J.R. Meakes, Dr. P. Chalfoux, and Dr. F. E. Lavole, form the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors, with Mr. Meakes as Chairman.

Chairmen appointed to the standing committees were: Mr. P. E. Arsenault, Finance Committee; Mr. T.L. Kennedy, Building and Development Planning Committee, as well as Long Term Planning Committee; Mr. K. E. Akl, Real Estate Committee; Mr. J.R. Meakes, Public Relations Committee; Dr. P. Chalfoux, Federation Relations Committee; Dr. F. E. Lavole, Committee on Bilingualism and Multiculturalism.

In other business, the board of Governors appointed Dr. F. E. Lavole and Mr. T. L. Kennedy to be its representatives on the Senate of Laurentian University, with Mr. J.R. Meakes and Mr. C. Lavigne as alternates.



LUV

Murray Schisgal's latest comedy "LUV" is coming to the Fraser Auditorium at Laurentian University September 29, 30, October 1st and 2nd, being University Player's first production for the season. This production has been scheduled for four nights to give the students and faculty as well as the entire community an opportunity to enjoy the production.

The University Players were anxious to get the season rolling with a play that would be well-suited to the demands of our audience. And they believe they've got it!

The cast includes such well-known personalities as, Helen Casaubon (a veteran of several University Player's productions), Eugene McLaney (a very active man about theatre at Laurentian), and Andre Casaubon (the delivery man in Barefoot in the Park, and "King Ubu").

Come for an evening of good entertainment at the Fraser Auditorium September 29, 30, October 1 and 2nd at 8:30 pm.

Advance tickets will be on sale at the University Book Store which will be open 9 am. to 9 pm. daily week of September 20th. Seats are not pre-numbered, so come early!

MORE PEOPLE choose the

PRESIDENT

MOTOR HOTEL

- AIR CONDITIONED
- FREE PARKING
- COFFEE SHOP DINING LOUNGE
- COLOR TELEVISION COCKTAIL LOUNGES
- RECEPTION & CONVENTION FACILITIES

LA BOUTE DE

An SGA run store has much to offer

CIGARETTES 51c
DRY CLEANING 15.00 OFF
RECORDS: REG. \$5.29 \$3.79
PATENT DRUGS
CRESTED JACKETS
FOODSTUFFS
MAGAZINES

"Try us out" — L218
The Parker Bldg.
"You'll be pleased."

Courtesy of
National Grocers Ltd.
1160 Lorne St., Sudbury

Browne's Recording & Stereo Sales

BEST DEALS
ON
ALL STEREO COMPONENTS
AUTHORIZED DYNACO DEALER

Peter Browne
PHONE 566-8077

341 DANFORTH AVE.
SUDBURY, ONTARIO

UNDERGRADUATE AWARDS

The following entrance and in-course awards have not yet been awarded. If you qualify (see financial section of the calendar) and wish to be considered, please apply in writing stating qualifications, to the Awards Officer, Miss Linda Ronald before October 1.

BOURSES DISPONIBLES

L'Université Laurentienne a encore a sa disposition les quelques bourses suivantes qu'elle décernera aux postulants admissibles. Veuillez vous référer à la section "Aide Financière" pour en connaître les exigences. On doit adresser sa demande par écrit à Mlle Linda Ronald, Préposée à l'Aide Financière avant Octobre 1.

Entrance awards — Bourses d'entrée

R.L. Beattie Branch 224 Legion Bursary
Semple Gooder Scholarship
Sudbury Branch C.I.M.M. Bursary
Northern Ontario Golf Association Bursary

In Course Awards — Bourses de 2e 3e 4e année
Bursaries of the Automotive Transport Association of Ontario


lambda

Editor in chief Noel Beach

Business Manager Ken Peake

Laurentian University, Sudbury Ontario
Member - Canadian University Press

Lambda is the official student newspaper of Laurentian University. It is published Tuesday weekly mid September to mid March by Lambda Publications, an independent association of the students of Laurentian University. All opinions are those of the editorial staff unless otherwise stated. Letters to the editors cannot be printed unless signed with address and telephone number. Pen names will be accepted only if just cause can be shown. Advertising is accepted by the Central Ad Bureau, Room L-219, R. D. Parker Bldg., Laurentian University, 100 Mainstream, 337 Davenport Road, Toronto 18, Ontario. The Lambda office is Room L-219, R. D. Parker Bldg., Laurentian University, switchboard 675-1151, ext. 267 or directly 673-8613.



B.A. CLIMB TO THE TOP?

EDITORIAL

Leditor

For those who have neither the time nor the endurance to wade through "Criticism & Comments on the Grading System adopted for 1970-71" available through the Department of Economics, Lambda has "seized the opportunity" to condense the 13 page document. Essentially it is dedicated to showing how the Steller motion was detrimental to 1) the Staff of the Department of Economics, 2) the students - note that order.

On the surface the document appears to deal with the student - his disappointment, confusion etc., but on closer examination and even from its tone, it is evident that it reflects the viewpoint of the staff in the department. They consider the experiment "an utterly unnecessary waste of time of its staff members." One hour of discussion in a class of perhaps 30 students, averaging 2 minutes of time was WASTED on discussion of the motion! One would seriously question the priorities of a department which can ill afford to spend 2 minutes of its time per student on a matter which affects all members of the university community. Perhaps one result of the discussions was that students finally realized that while their professors professed nice, liberal philosophies, once the time came for carrying out their beliefs, they would never live up to them - which was a learning experience in itself.

To further quote the criticism, "Final exams in economics are integral parts of the program. They are of great didactic and pedagogical significance." If final exams were deleted the document continues, it "would require a fundamental change in our teaching process." Thus a student at Laurentian once said, it is the exam which decides what is to be learned and how it is to be taught. Only material which is easily examinable will be taught.

Irrelevant issues such as the fact that university staff is underpaid, are used to cloud the issue. Lambda is accused of complicity in confusing students and staff by reporting that the motion had been passed.

Instead of attempting to censure Lambda - for doing its job - taking information to the students, it would be more to the point to say that Senate's methods of communicating its decisions which directly involve students and staff, leave a great deal to be desired.

It may also be advisable to point out that although professors in the university are paid out of government funds, they are not public servants, as stated by the Department.

It is at times reminiscent of an essay which has been shoddily put together unedited. Inconsistencies such as "Therefore the Department has refrained from joining an experiment of which it is so doubtful, on principle, at the present time", and two pages later, "The Economics Department has tried to comply with the request as much as possible". It talks of 'inputs' and us students, products of the university, as 'outputs'; experiments in human relations should never be made. One could of course ask how else do people learn, but that is much too logical a question.

The Steller motion is also blamed for decreasing attendance in the last month of classes in economics - now whose fault could it be when students attend classes only while it affects their marks? Its funnier lines run like "the bad precedent of 1970 has had some influence in producing this experiment" - in fact many are willing to bet that the entire 13 pages were produced by any number of individuals who had nothing better to do while getting drunk one night.

But, back to the document. Throughout one senses anger - anger at the senate, the university structures, Lambda, in fact at everything but at the members of the department. Perhaps some self-examination by the Economics Staff is in order at this point. But, on the other hand, this would no doubt be considered a waste of precious time by all concerned.

Dear editors: Judging from the response to our solicitation of new members to University Players during Registration week, this has all the makings of an exciting year in Drama at Laurentian University. Many of our newcomers hail from Southern Ontario, bringing several years' theatrical experience with them.

I would like to take this opportunity to welcome everyone to Laurentian University, and invite you to come and participate in our activities, whether it be to join University Players as a member or as to become part of our ever-increasing audience.

Anita Sproule,
President.

CNIB

"In spite of high levels of unemployment, and not to mention the fact that many people are entering new careers," said Mr. Ralph Gallienne, Sudbury Campaign Chairman for The Canadian National Institute for the Blind. In one province six blind people are employed as coffee tasters. In another province a blind telephone operator records the charge number when the caller dials a direct distance line.

"There's nothing unusual about new careers for blind persons," said the Chairman. And he told how a few years ago X-ray film processing was studied and found suitable for blind technicians. Even the computer with its technical language and complicated operation is providing jobs for trained blind people. One blind person is in a supervisory position and another is establishing computer programs for her company across the country.

"Although you may not know a blind person, you play an important part in his success", Mr. Gallienne said. "Your annual donation to CNIB helps to establish training programs and find employment."

CNIB is a private agency and its work depends on public support. In addition to employment, it provides residence care for senior citizens and a host of additional services from recreation to home study courses.

"Even when you are prepared for it, blindness is always sudden", observed the Chairman. "It is up to those who can see to support the services which help to compensate for the loss of sight."

Modern electronic equipment is helping Canadians get a closer look at the world around them through their fingertips. According to Mr. Ralph Gallienne, "some of the money raised in this year's drive will be used for touch type devices to make life a little easier for blind people."

One of the instruments he described is a projector that prints raised marks on aluminum foil which can then be transferred to plasticized paper which preserves the raised lines.


Because many blind people are active ham radio operators a touch type system for reading the dials had to be created. "One small adjustment can

seriously damage a transmitter," the chairman said, "and stations that fail to stay on their assigned frequency soon lose their license."

On the lighter side crossword puzzles are available with specially prepared squares and fragile numbering. A crossword devotee can thus continue his hobby if blindness occurs. And many do. There are also special prepared chess and checkers sets with sunken squares to hold the men in place. Braille marked playing cards make every card game possible.

The open face of the rattle clock or wrist watch is familiar to most people and everyone realizes how dependent our lives are on knowing what time it is.

"Blindness is always sudden" Mr. Gallienne reminded us, "but equipment designed for touch senses the blow. Blind people are also encouraged to know that the search for new devices to help them is continuous. Your donation to the annual CNIB drive makes it possible. Watch for the volunteer canvasser and be generous when he calls."



EXCERPTS

Office Consolidation of the Food and Drug Act

9 - 10 ELIZABETH II

CHAPTER 35

An Act to provide for the Control of Narcotic Drugs

(Assented to 22nd June, 1981.)

Her Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and House of Commons of Canada, enacts as follows: —

2. In this Act,

- b) "Conveyance" includes any aircraft, vessel, motor vehicle or other conveyance of any description whatever;
- c) "marihuana" means *Cannabis sativa* L.;
- d) "Minister" means
 - (i) with respect to Part I, the Minister of National Health and Welfare, and
 - (ii) with respect to Part II, the Minister of Justice;
- e) "narcotic" means any substance included in the Schedule or anything that contains any substance included in the Schedule;
- f) "narcotic addict" means a person who through the use of narcotics,
 - (i) has developed a desire or need to continue to take a narcotic, or
 - (ii) has developed a psychological or physical dependence upon the effect of a narcotic;
- g) "opium poppy" means *Papaver somniferum* L.;
- h) "possession" means possession as defined in the Criminal Code; and
- i) "traffic" means
 - (i) to manufacture, sell, give, administer, transport, send, deliver or distribute,
 - (ii) to offer to do anything mentioned in subparagraph (i) otherwise than under the authority of this Act or the regulations.

PART I

OFFENCES AND ENFORCEMENT

Particular Offences

3. 1. Except as authorized by this Act or the regulations, no person shall have a narcotic in his possession.
2. Every person who violates subsection (1) is guilty of an offence and is liable
 - a) upon summary conviction for a first offence, to a fine of one thousand dollars or to imprisonment for six months or to both fine and imprisonment, and for a subsequent offence, to a fine of two thousand dollars or to imprisonment for one year or to both fine and imprisonment; or
 - b) upon conviction on indictment, to imprisonment for seven years.
4. 1. No person shall traffic in a narcotic or any substance represented or held out by him to be a narcotic.
2. No person shall have in his possession any narcotic for the purpose of trafficking.
3. Every person who violates subsection (1) or (2) is guilty of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for life.
5. 1. Except as authorized by this Act or the regulations, no person shall import into Canada or export from Canada any narcotic.
2. Every person who violates subsection (1) is guilty of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for life but not less than seven years.
6. 1. No person shall cultivate opium poppy or marihuana except under authority of and in accordance with a licence issued to him under the regulations.
2. Every person who violates subsection (1) is guilty of an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for seven years.

Prosecutions

8. In any prosecution for a violation of subsection (2) of section 4, if the accused does not plead guilty, the trial shall proceed as if it were a prosecution for an offence under section 3, and after the close of the case for the prosecution and after the accused has had an opportunity to make full answer and defence, the court shall make a finding as to whether or not the accused was in possession of the narcotic contrary to section 3; if the court finds that the accused was not in possession of the narcotic contrary to section 3, he shall be acquitted but if the court finds that the accused was in possession of the narcotic contrary to section 3, he shall be given an opportunity of establishing that he was not in possession of the narcotic for the purpose of trafficking, and thereafter the prosecutor shall be given an opportunity of adducing evidence to establish that the accused was in possession of the narcotic for the purpose of trafficking, he shall be acquitted of the offence as charged but he shall be convicted of an offence under section 3 and sentenced accordingly; and if the accused fails to establish that he was not in possession of the narcotic for the purpose of trafficking, he shall be convicted of the offence as charged and sentenced accordingly.

Search, Seizure and Forfeiture

10. 1. A peace officer may, at any time,
 - a) without a warrant enter and search any place other than a dwelling house, and under the authority of a Writ of Assistance or a warrant issued under this section, enter and search any dwelling house in which he reasonably believes there is a narcotic by means of or in respect of which an offence under this Act has been committed;
 - b) search any person found in such place; and
 - c) seize and take away any narcotic found in such place, anything in such place in which he reasonably suspects a narcotic is contained or concealed, or any other thing by means of or in respect of which he reasonably believes an offence under this Act has been committed or that may be evidence of the commission of such an offence.
2. A justice who is satisfied by information upon oath that there are reasonable grounds for believing that there is a narcotic, by means of or in respect of which an offence under this Act has been committed, in any dwelling house may issue a warrant under his hand authorizing a peace officer named therein at any time to enter the dwelling house and search for narcotics.

3. For the purpose of exercising his authority under this section, a peace officer may, with such assistance as he deems necessary, break open any door, window, lock, fastener, floor, wall, ceiling, compartment, plumbing fixture, box, container or any other thing.

4. Where a narcotic or other thing has been seized under subsection (1), any person may, within two months from the date of such seizure, upon prior notification having been given to the Crown in the manner prescribed by the regulations, apply to a magistrate within whose territorial jurisdiction the seizure was made for an order of restoration under subsection (6).

5. Subject to subsections (8) and (9), whereupon the hearing of an application made under subsection (5) the magistrate is satisfied

- a) that the applicant is entitled to possession of the narcotic or other thing seized, and
- b) that the thing so seized is not or will not be required as evidence in any proceedings in respect of an offence under this Act,

he shall order that the thing so seized be restored forthwith to the applicant, and where the magistrate is satisfied that the applicant is entitled to possession of the thing so seized but is not satisfied as to the matters mentioned in paragraph (b), he shall order that the thing so seized be restored to the applicant

c) upon the expiration of four months from the date of the seizure, if no proceedings in respect of an offence under this Act have been commenced before that time, or

d) upon the final conclusion of any such proceedings, in any other case.

7. Where no application has been made for the return of any narcotic or other thing seized under subsection (1) within two months from the date of such seizure, or an application therefor has been made but upon the hearing thereof no order of restoration is made, the thing so seized shall be delivered to the Minister who may make such disposition thereof as he thinks fit.

8. Where a person has been convicted of an offence under section 3, 4 or 5, any narcotic seized under subsection (1), by means of or in respect of which the offence was committed, any money so seized that was used for the purchase of that narcotic and any hypodermic needle, syringe, cupping machine or other apparatus so seized that was used in any manner in connection with the offence is forfeited to Her Majesty and shall be deposited in the Minister's custody.

9. Where a person has been convicted of an offence under section 4 or 5, the court may, upon application by counsel for the Crown, order being made the conveyance of the thing so seized to Her Majesty, and, except as provided in section 12, shall, upon the expiration of thirty days from the date of such forfeiture, deposit of as the Minister directs.



PART II

Preventive Detention and Custody for Treatment

15. Where a person is convicted of an offence under section 4 or 5, the court shall, if that person
 - a) has been previously convicted on at least one separate and independent occasion of an offence under section 4 or 5 of this Act or an offence under subsection (3) of section 4 of the Opium and Narcotic Drug Act, or
 - b) has been previously sentenced to preventive detention under this section,
 impose a sentence of preventive detention in a penitentiary for an indeterminate period, in lieu of any other sentence that might be imposed for the offence of which he was convicted.
16. Where any person is charged with an offence under section 3, 4 or 5, the court of any judge having jurisdiction to try the offence may, upon application by counsel for the Crown or upon application by counsel for the person charged with the offence or by counsel for such person, either before or after such person is committed for trial and before any sentence that might be imposed for the offence is passed, remand such person, by order in writing, to such custody as the court directs for observation and examination for a period not exceeding seven days.
17. (1) Where a person who has been remanded to custody for observation and examination pursuant to section 16 is convicted of the offence in respect of which he was remanded to such custody, the court shall, before passing sentence consider the evidence arising out of the observation and examination, including the evidence of at least one duly qualified medical practitioner and such other evidence as may be adduced, and where the court is satisfied, upon consideration of such evidence, that the convicted person is a narcotic addict, the court shall, notwithstanding anything in section 9, sentence him to custody for treatment for an indeterminate period, in lieu of any other sentence that might be imposed for the offence of which he was convicted.
 - (2) A person who is sentenced to custody for treatment for an indeterminate period under this section may appeal to the court of appeal against the sentence on any ground of law or fact or mixed law and fact.
 - (3) The provisions of section 681 of the Criminal Code with respect to appeals against a sentence of preventive detention apply mutatis mutandis to an appeal under this section.

PART II

SCHEDULE

Repealed and new P.C. 1962 / 751, May 22, 1962

1. Opium Poppy (*Papaver somniferum*) its preparations, derivatives, alkaloids and salts, including
 - 1. Opium
 - 2. Codeine (Methylmorphine),
 - 3. Morphine,
 - 4. Thebaine,
 and their preparations, derivatives and salts including:
 - 5. Diacetylmorphine (heroin),
 but not including:
 - Poppy seed.
2. Coca (*Erythroxylon*) its preparations, derivatives, alkaloids and salts, including
 - 1. Coca leaves,
 - 2. Cocaine, and
 - 3. Ecgonine (3 hydroxy 2 tropate carboxylic acid).
3. *Cannabis sativa*, its preparations, derivatives and similar synthetic preparations, including:
 - 1. Cannabis resin,
 - 2. Cannabis (marihuana),
 - 3. Cannabinol,
 - 4. Cannabinol (3-n-amy-6,6,9-trimethyl-6-dibenzopyran-101),
 - 5. Pyrene-syl,
 - 6. Tetrahydrocannabinol
4. Amides, their preparation, intermediates, derivatives and salts including Methadone
5. Methadols, their preparations, derivatives and salts
6. Phenalkoxams, their preparations, derivatives and salts
7. Thambutenes, their preparations, derivatives and salts
8. Moramides, their preparations, intermediates, derivatives and salts
9. Morphinans, their preparations, derivatives and salts
10. Benzazocines, their preparations, derivatives and salts
11. Ampromides, their preparations, derivatives and salts
12. Benzimidazoles, their preparations, derivatives and salts

NOTE: These sections of the Narcotic Control Act are SEVERELY EXCERPTED and are not meant to represent any more than certain limited sections which might be relevant to students. As such, they are merely guidelines to the law, and real legal advice on such matters is best sought through a lawyer. See Legal Aid. This part of the HANDBOOK is not quotable as law.

Queen's humiliates Voyageurs

Last year, Queen's University had to be content with being only the second best college football team in Canada, after losing in overtime to the champion University of Manitoba Bisons. This year, it appears they will be satisfied to relinquish their second place status to become the number one grid squad in the country.

Against the Vees, the Gaels showed impressive execution, considering that that was their first game of the season. The first few plays of the game were close, with neither team showing any offensive gain. The Gaels, however, scored a field goal in the early part of the first

quarter, after Laurentian managed to prevent a touchdown. Minutes later, when the Voyageurs were forced to punt, Billy McNeill of the Gaels ran the ball back to the Vees' one yard line. On the next play, Brian Warrender scored the first of his four touchdowns. Kozac's convert attempt was good, and gave the opposition a 10-0 lead at quarter time.

Queen's scored early in the second quarter on a short run play by Gord McLellan and again Kozac's convert attempt was good. It was only minutes later that Laurentian's Guy Vetric suffered ligament damage to the neck. He will probably be out

for the rest of the season, and considering he was a league all-star last year, he will be a hard man to replace.

By the end of the half, Queen's built up a 30-0 lead, on TD's by Warrender and McLellan. Vees ran a good play on a fake punt and managed to get a first down with some good running by Peter Doyle. They were unable to mount an effective offensive threat.

In the second half, Queen's scored five more TD's, including one on a punt return by Brian Warrender. Other majors were scored by Madden, Booth, McLellan and Warrender.

Vees came up with their only prolonged offensive effort in the

third quarter. A long drive, in which they obtained 5 first downs, ended in a short touch down pass play from Jack Hurst to Dave McNab. Final score was 65-0.

Football Comments: The Gaels use 50% of their practise time on punt returning - it paid off... Queen's has a 12,000 seat stadium used solely for college football; every game is filled to capacity, thus enabling the team to make money for the university... In other games that were played that same Saturday, Carleton defeated U of T 12-3, and Ottawa thumped York 24-0. This week the Vees host Ottawa Gee Gees.

Vees host Ottawa on Saturday

This Saturday, Laurentian University will host the football team from Ottawa University. It appears that the Ottawa team will again be a top contender, as they have 23 veterans returning. Last year's all-star quarterback, Paul Paddon, has graduated, but headcoach Don Gilbert has recruited well to fill the vacancy. Norm Lucky, a Toronto native, played college football in an Ohio conference and broke several records established by Ron Lancaster, now one of the top quar-

terbacks in the CFL. Ottawa also picked up Dan Smith, who last year played for McGill. Coach Gilbert stated that Smith was a big boy and a good quarterback.

If the Gee-Gees' quarterbacking looks good, Coach Gilbert stated that their defence was "out of sight." Against York they managed 5 interceptions and held their opposition to a total offence of 133 yards. On the other hand, the Ottawa offence obtained 340 yards and could have done considerably

better, had they not been called for 170 yards in penalties.

Last year's game, played at Laurentian, saw the Gee-Gees win by a count of 26-18, Ottawa's passing game was superb and Paddon's pin-point accuracy impressed many fans.

Vees' Jack Hurst played a great defensive game, knocking the ball away from the intended receiver on two occasions. Gary McLeod played quarterback for the Vees and did a good job, mixing his plays well.

Laurentian TD's were scored

by Parry McGill, on a 30 yard run from scrimmage and by Guy Vetric, who ran back a punt for the 9 points. (Vetric suffered a neck injury in the Queen's game and will be out for the rest of the season.)

The Vees also picked up a 2 point conversion, on a Jack Hurst pass to Peter Doyle.

Hopefully, Laurentian fans will turn out to support the Vees. In the Queen's game, the opposition had more fans present than the home town Voyageurs. Game time Saturday is 2 pm.

Jack Porter

The man who led the 1970-71 Laurentian Voyageurs to the Ontario Intercollegiate Athletic Association championship and then to the Canadian Intercollegiate Athletic Union organized the most successful CIAU hockey championship ever has been named "Sportsman of the Year" by the Sudbury Kinsmen Club.

Jack Porter, head coach of the "hockey" Vees, gained the award at the yearly dinner held by the Kinsmen to induct well-known area sports figures into their Hall of Fame, and to award the sportsman of the year.

Porter beat out two other nominees: Gerry Eggers of the Sudbury Wolves Junior A team and Ray Owens of the Sudbury Spartans Football club and Laurentian Voyageurs Basketball team.

Eggers was top defenseman in the NOA league, and also MVP of the Wolves. Owens was runner-up for rookie of the year in the Northern Football Con-

ference and won the scoring title from his end spot. He also was one of the leading figures in the struggle for first place in the OIAA basketball loop.

Porter coached the Vees hockey team to its seventh league title in the nine years he has been behind the bench. Twice in those seven years, the Vees were CIAU finalists, and also twice won the consolation title, with three additional appearances in the consolation.

This year in addition to coaching the Vees to an undefeated season in which they outscored the opposition 106-21, Porter organized the monstrous CIAU championship, which was a master stroke of genius that went off without a hitch. (Save for the fact that Toronto won again.)

It is the opinion of many local sportsmen, that Porter who has long been recognized elsewhere as a great coach has finally been given the local recognition he deserves.

Bursaries

Two Laurentian Hockey Voyageurs have been awarded Hockey Canada Bursaries to help in their studies for this season.

Receiving the awards were Mike Fox and Rick Morris. Both were rookies with the Vees last year.

Fox had a most successful season after graduating from the Oshawa Generals. The Coniston native was third overall in the Vees' team scoring, and was voted to the OIAA all-star team as a defenceman. He also led all players in scoring at the CIAU national tournament, narrowly missing a berth on the national dream team. "Foxy" is a defenceman in the Robby Orr style with enough speed

to cover up back on defence after making one of his electrifying rushes.

Rick Morris who hails from Ancaster, by way of the Dallas Black Hawks sat out most of the season last year due to one of those eligibility rules. Pro players must sit out a year before being eligible to play college hockey. But before hanging up his skates at the end of the exhibition schedule, Morris demonstrated some of the smoothest skating and goal scoring one is likely to see. His constant buzz saw pressure will give the Vees some great forechecking in the new league.

Marathoner

Sudbury's only member of the Canadian team to compete in the Pan-Am Games in Cali Colombia powered his way to a sixth place finish in the gruelling Marathon. Dr. Ron Wallingford, professor of physiology of exercise, finished the twenty six mile 285 yard test of endurance relatively fresh.

Wallingford had spent many months before the games experimenting on himself, but declared the results of his test inclusive, saying it was not one of his better races. His testing included heat training and drinking large amounts of water before running.

Again

Last Saturday the football team went to Toronto. There was a total of 63 points scored in that game, with the U of T Blues managing to collect every single point.

If that was a shock for Laurentian, imagine how Queen's felt losing to Ottawa by a score

of 27-0. Or at least that's what I heard over the grapevine. The Vees cause was hampered by interceptions, fumbles and an inability to hold onto the ball. However, don't expect the Vees to play ball like this all year. Come see the Ottawa game Saturday at 2 pm. Should be a hard fought game.

The 37 year old veteran runner of international reputation was named Captain of the team, and plans to continue his testing.

NOW OPEN

SGA Used Textbook Exchange

Buy & Sell Used Texts

L216 Parker Bldg.

10a.m. - 4p.m. Mon. - Fri.

do it do it do it

SEPTEMBER 21st
eight thirty great hall dance with bar, truck, one dollar cover

SEPTEMBER 22nd
four o'clock, café robot pub with truck

SEPTEMBER 23rd
same as yesterday

SEPTEMBER 25th
two o'clock, football Vees host Ottawa
eight thirty, great hall, concert with syrinx and madrigal
nine o'clock, café robot pub

SEPTEMBER 26th
six o'clock and nine o'clock, marat sade in fraser auditorium

SEPTEMBER 28th
eight thirty, great hall dance with major hoopie's boarding house

Track & Field

Many people do not seem to realize that Laurentian athletes participate in track tournaments throughout the year. In open meets, faculty and other members of the community compete with Laurentian students. As well, there are meets exclusive to students alone.

In the Chateau Pine Annual Invitational Track and Field Meet (open) held recently, several Laurentian students did very well. Lou Frechette won the men's discus event with a toss

event in 35 minutes, fifty-four point one seconds.

The Laurentian Invitational will be held October the second and will feature a 10.5 kilometre cross country race. The men's team from Laurentian should do well. Returning from last year will be Darrel Frank, Lorne Luhta, Dave Landrialut, Gilles Crepeau and John DeFinney.

Also on the team will be Pete Sanderson and Frank Johnstone. Johnstone competed in the men's walking races at the Pan Am games this summer.

Dellavedova, Frechette and Frank will also compete in the McMaster Invitational this Friday. Any track and field types are urged to see either Dr. Wendy Jerome or Dr. Ron Wallingford at the Physical Education Complex.

LAMBDA

staff meeting

to be tuesday 2:00 L-222

Vees host Ottawa

Saturday 2:00



Levi's Original Blue Jeans go bell bottom in this flared version of the popular classic. Levi's famous cut, detailing and XX denim.

MOSES MEN'S WEAR


MODERN OPTICAL

Sudbury's Eyewear Fashion Center
Specializing in the fitting of eye doctor's prescriptions.
FAST EFFICIENT SERVICE
Wm. (Bill) Loughhead, Optician
53 LISGAR ST. 673-4421
IF BUSY CALL 673-4710

From JULIUS SCHMID

effective

birth control products for men



Reliable contraception is a matter of vital concern to the majority of the world's population today. And the majority of the world's people practicing contraception rely on the condom, which is also known as a protective, prophylactic, or sheath.

The finest protectives made by Julius Schmid are well known to your doctor and druggist—Fourax, Ramses and Sheik. They are available at all drugstores.

For more information on birth control, send for "The How-Not-To Book".

It's FREE.

JULIUS SCHMID OF CANADA LIMITED
32 Bermondsey Rd., Toronto 16, Ont.
Please send me the HOW-NOT-TO Book.
(Print clearly)

TO: Name _____
Address _____
City _____ Zone _____ Prov. _____